

A solution of one J. Bourgain's problem

Eugene Tokarev

Dedicated to the memory of S. Banach.

ABSTRACT. It is proved that there exists a separable reflexive Banach space W that contains an isomorphic image of every separable superreflexive Banach space. This gives the answer on one J. Bourgain's question

1. Introduction

In 1980 J. Bourgain [1] posed a question:

Whether there exists a separable reflexive Banach space universal for all separable superreflexive Banach spaces?

Recall that a Banach space X is said to be *universal* for a class $\mathcal{K}$ of Banach spaces if every member of $\mathcal{K}$ is isomorphic to a subspace of X .

A partial solution of the problem was obtained in [2], where the existence of a separable reflexive Banach space that contains all separable superreflexive Banach spaces, which enjoy the approximation property, was proved.

In the article the existence of the corresponding universal space will be shown without additional restrictions.

The idea of the construction is rather simple.

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a (proper) class of all Banach spaces; $1 < p \leq 2 \leq q < \infty$. Let $C(p, q, N) \subset \mathcal{B}$ be a set of all separable superreflexive Banach spaces that are both of type p and of cotype q , with corresponding constants of type and cotype that do not exceed N .

It may be organized a Banach space X (certainly, non-separable) as an l_2 -sum of all spaces from $C(p, q, N)$:

$$X = (\sum \oplus \{E : E \in C(p, q, N)\})_2,$$

where $(\sum \oplus \{X_i : i \in I\})_2$ is a Banach space of all families $\{x_i \in X_i : i \in I\} = \mathfrak{x}$ with the finite norm

$$\|\mathfrak{x}\|_2 = \sup\{(\sum \{\|x_i\|_{X_i}^2 : i \in I_0\})^{1/2} : I_0 \subset I; \text{ card}(I_0) < \infty\}.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46B20; Secondary 46A20, 46B03, 46B07, 46B10.

Key words and phrases. Banach space, Superreflexivity, Finite representability.

This space generates a class X^f of all Banach spaces that are *finitely equivalent* to X , i.e. of those Banach spaces Y , which are finitely representable in X (shortly, $Y <_f X$) and in which the space X is finitely representable as well. Thus,

$$X^f = \{Y \in \mathcal{B} : Y <_f X \text{ and } X <_f Y\}.$$

Recall (cf. [3]) that a Banach space E is said to be an *isomorphic envelope* of a class E^f provided any Banach space Z , which is finitely representable in E and whose dimension $\dim(Z)$ does not exceed $\dim(E)$ is isomorphic to a subspace of E . Certainly, there no guarantee that X^f contains a space, which is the separable isomorphic envelope of this class.

The main step of the construction is to built a new class $(W(X))^f$ (certainly, depending on X) such that

- Any space of $(W(X))^f$ has the same type and cotype as X ;
- X is finitely representable in $W(X)$;
- $W(X)$ is superreflexive provided X has a such property;
- The class $(W(X))^f$ contains a separable space (say, E_W) which is an isomorphic envelope of $(W(X))^f$.

Next steps of the construction are obvious. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ consider a class $C_n = C(1 - n^{-1}, n, n)$ (described above) and a corresponding space

$$X_n = (\sum \oplus \{E : E \in C_n\})_2.$$

Let $(W_n)^f = (W(X_n))^f$ be the corresponding class. Let E_n be a separable isomorphic envelope of this class. Clearly,

$$G = (\sum_{n < \infty} \oplus E_n)_2$$

is a desired space. Indeed, G is reflexive because of it is the l_2 -sum of reflexive spaces. G is separable since it is a countable sum of separable spaces. At least, if Z is a superreflexive separable Banach space then Z is isometric to a subspace of one of X_n 's (by the definition of X_n). Therefore Z is finite representable in a corresponding space W_n and, hence, is isomorphic to a subspace of E_n (by the definition of an isomorphic envelope). Consequently, Z is isomorphic to a subspace of G .

Below it will be shown that such a space G is not unique: there exists a continuum number of pairwise non-isomorphic spaces G_α with the same property.

2. Definitions and notations

DEFINITION 1. Let X, Y are Banach spaces, $\lambda < \infty$. X is λ -finitely representable in Y if for every finite dimensional subspace A of X there exists a subspace B of Y and an isomorphism $u : A \rightarrow B$ such that $\|u\| \|u^{-1}\| < \lambda$.

X is finite representable in Y (in symbols: $X <_f Y$) if X is λ -finitely representable in Y for every $\lambda > 1$.

X is crudely finite representable in Y if X is λ -finitely representable in Y for some $\lambda > 1$.

Notice that X is crudely finite representable in Y if and only if X is isomorphic to a space X_1 , which is finitely representable in Y .

For any two Banach spaces X, Y their *Banach-Mazur distance* is given by

$$d(X, Y) = \inf\{\|u\| \|u^{-1}\| : u : X \rightarrow Y\},$$

where u runs all isomorphisms between X and Y and is assumed, as usual, that $\inf \emptyset = \infty$.

It is well known that $\log d(X, Y)$ forms a metric on each class of isomorphic Banach spaces, where almost isometric Banach spaces are identified.

Recall that Banach spaces X and Y are *almost isometric* if $d(X, Y) = 1$. Surely, any almost isometric finite dimensional Banach spaces are isometric.

The set $\mathfrak{M}_n$ of all n -dimensional Banach spaces, equipped with this metric, is the compact metric space, called *the Minkowski compact* $\mathfrak{M}_n$.

The disjoint union $\cup\{\mathfrak{M}_n : n < \infty\} = \mathfrak{M}$ is a separable metric space, which is called *the Minkowski space*.

Consider a Banach space X . Let $H(X)$ be a set of all its different finite dimensional subspaces (isometric finite dimensional subspaces of X in $H(X)$ are identified). Thus, $H(X)$ may be regarded as a subset of $\mathfrak{M}$, equipped with a restriction of the metric topology of $\mathfrak{M}$.

Of course, $H(X)$ need not to be a closed subset of $\mathfrak{M}$. Its closure in $\mathfrak{M}$ will be denoted by $\overline{H(X)}$. From definitions it follows that $X <_f Y$ if and only if $\overline{H(X)} \subseteq \overline{H(Y)}$.

DEFINITION 2. *Spaces X and Y are finitely equivalent (in symbols: $X \sim_f Y$) if $X <_f Y$ and $Y <_f X$.*

Therefore, $X \sim_f Y$ if and only if $\overline{H(X)} = \overline{H(Y)}$. Thus, there is a one to one correspondence between classes of finite equivalence

$$X^f = \{Y \in \mathcal{B} : X \sim_f Y\}$$

and closed subsets of $\mathfrak{M}$ of kind $\overline{H(X)}$. Indeed, all spaces Y from X^f have the same set $\overline{H(X)}$. This set, uniquely determined by X (or, equivalently, by X^f), will be denoted $\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$ and will be referred to as *the Minkowski's base of the class X^f* .

The following classification of Banach spaces due to L. Schwartz [4].

DEFINITION 3. *For a Banach space X its l_p -spectrum $S(X)$ is given by*

$$S(X) = \{p \in [0, \infty] : l_p <_f X\}.$$

Certainly, if $X \sim_f Y$ then $S(X) = S(Y)$. Thus, the l_p -spectrum $S(X)$ may be regarded as a property of the whole class X^f . So, notations like $S(X^f)$ are of obvious meaning.

DEFINITION 4. *Let X be a Banach space. It is called:*

- *c-convex, if $\infty \notin S(X)$;*
- *B-convex, if $1 \notin S(X)$;*
- *Finite universal, if $\infty \in S(X)$.*

As it was shown in [5], the l_p -spectrum is closely connected with notions of type and cotype. Recall the definition.

DEFINITION 5. *Let $1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q \leq \infty$. A Banach space X is said to be of type p , respectively, of cotype q , if for every finite sequence $\{x_n : n < N\}$ of its elements*

$$\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} r_n(t) x_n \right\| dt \leq t_p(X) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|x_n\|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

respectively,

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|x_n\|^q \right)^{1/q} \leq c_q(X) \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} r_n(t) x_n \right\| dt,$$

where $\{r_n(t) : n < \infty\}$ are Rademacher functions.

When $q = \infty$, the sum $\left(\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|x_n\|^q \right)^{1/q}$ must be replaced with $\sup_{n < N} \|x_n\|$. Constants $t_p(X)$ and $c_q(X)$ in these inequalities depend only on X . Their least values $T_p(X) = \inf t_p(X)$ and $C_q(X) = \inf c_q(X)$, are called *the type p -constant* $T_p(X)$ and *the cotype q -constant* $C_q(X)$.

Every Banach space is of type 1 and of cotype ∞ .

If X is of type p and of cotype q with the constants $T_p(X) = T$, $C_q(X) = C$ than any $Y \in X^f$ is of same type and cotype and its type-cotype constants are equal to those of X . Thus, it may be spoken about the type and cotype of the whole class X^f of finite equivalence. Notice that X and Y are isomorphic then these spaces are of the same type and cotype.

It is known (see [5]) that

$$\begin{aligned} \inf S(X) &= \sup\{p \in [1, 2] : T_p(X) < \infty\}; \\ \sup S(X) &= \inf\{q \in [2, \infty] : C_q(X) < \infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 6. *A Banach space X is said to be superreflexive if every space of the class X^f is reflexive.*

Equivalently, X is superreflexive if any $Y <_f X$ is reflexive. Clearly, any superreflexive Banach space is B -convex. Since $S(X)$ is either $[1, \infty]$ or is a closed subset of $[1, \infty)$ (cf. [6]), any superreflexive space is of *non-trivial* (i.e., non equal to 1) type.

DEFINITION 7. (Cf. [7]). *Let X be a Banach space; Y - its subspace. Y is said to be a reflecting subspace of X (symbolically: $Y \prec_u X$) if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every finite dimensional subspace $A \hookrightarrow X$ there exists an isomorphic embedding $u : A \rightarrow Y$ such that $\|u\| \|u^{-1}\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ and $u|_{A \cap Y} = Id_{A \cap Y}$.*

As it was shown in [7], if $Y \prec_u X$ then Y^{**} is an image of a norm one projection $P : X^{**} \rightarrow Y^{**}$ (under canonical embedding of Y^{**} into X^{**}).

DEFINITION 8. (Cf. [8]). *A Banach space E is said to be existentially closed in a class X^f if for any isometric embedding $i : E \rightarrow Z$ into an arbitrary space $Z \in X^f$ its image iE is a reflecting subspace of Z : $iY \prec_u Z$.*

A class of all spaces E that are existentially closed in X^f is denoted by $\mathcal{E}(X^f)$. In [8] it was shown that for any Banach space X the class $\mathcal{E}(X^f)$ is nonempty; moreover, any $Y <_f X^f$ may be isometrically embedded into some $E \in \mathcal{E}(X^f)$ of the dimension $\dim(E) = \max\{\dim(Y), \omega\}$ (ω denotes the first infinite ordinal number).

3. Quotient closed divisible classes of finite equivalence

In this section it will be shown how to enlarge a Minkowski's base $\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$ of a certain B -convex (resp., superreflexive) class X^f to obtain a set $\mathfrak{N}$ which will be a Minkowski's base $\mathfrak{M}(W^f)$ for some class W^f , which holds the B -convexity (resp.

superreflexivity) and type and cotype of X^f , which, as it will be shown in the next section, contains an isomorphic separable envelope.

DEFINITION 9. A class X^f (and its Minkowski's base $\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$) is said to be divisible if some (equivalently, any) space $Z \in X^f$ is finitely representable in any its subspace of finite codimension.

EXAMPLE 1. Any Banach space X may be isometrically embedded into a space

$$l_2(X) = (\sum_{i < \infty} \oplus X_i)_2,$$

where all X_i 's are isometric to X . Immediately, $l_2(X)$ generates a divisible class $D_2(X^f) = (l_2(X))^f$ which is of the same type and cotype as X^f and is superreflexive if and only if X^f is superreflexive.

To distinguish between general divisible classes and classes of type $D_2(X^f)$, the last ones will be called *2-divisible classes*.

DEFINITION 10. A class X^f (and its Minkowski's base $\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$) is said to be quotient closed if for any $A \in \mathfrak{M}(X^f)$ and its subspace $B \hookrightarrow A$ the quotient A/B belongs to $\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$.

Let $K \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ be a class of finite dimensional Banach spaces (recall, that isometric spaces are identified). Define operations H , Q and $*$ that transform a class K to another class of finite dimensional Banach spaces - $H(K)$; $Q(K)$ or $(K)^*$ respectively. Namely, let

$$\begin{aligned} H(K) &= \{A \in \mathfrak{M} : A \hookrightarrow B; \ B \in K\} \\ Q(K) &= \{A \in \mathfrak{M} : A = B/F; \ F \hookrightarrow B; \ B \in K\} \\ (K)^* &= \{A^* \in \mathfrak{M} : A \in K\} \end{aligned}$$

In words, $H(K)$ consists of all subspaces of spaces from K ; $Q(K)$ contains all quotient spaces of spaces of K ; $(K)^*$ contains all conjugates of spaces of K .

The following theorem lists properties of these operations. In iteration of the operations parentheses may be omitted.

Thus, $K^{**} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ((K)^*)^*$; $HH(K) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H(H(K))$ and so on.

THEOREM 1. Any set K of finite dimensional Banach spaces has the following properties:

- (1) $K^{**} = K$; $HH(K) = H(K)$; $QQ(K) = Q(K)$;
- (2) $K \subset H(K)$; $K \subset Q(K)$;
- (3) If $K_1 \subset K_2$ then $H(K_1) \subset H(K_2)$ and $Q(K_1) \subset Q(K_2)$;
- (4) $(H(K))^* = Q(K^*)$; $(Q(K))^* = H(K^*)$;
- (5) $HQ(HQ(K)) = HQ(K)$; $QH(QH(K)) = QH(K)$.

PROOF. 1, 2 and 3 are obvious.

4. If $A \in Q(K)$ then $A = B/E$ for some $B \in K$ and its subspace E . So, A^* is isometric to a subspace of B^* . Hence, $A^* \in H(B^*)$, i.e., $A^* \in H(K^*)$. Since A is arbitrary, $(Q(K))^* \subseteq H(K^*)$. Analogously, if $B \in K$ and $A \in H(B)$ then A^* may be identified with a quotient $B^*/A^\perp$, where $A^\perp$ is the annihilator of A in B^* :

$$A^\perp = \{f \in B^* : f(a) = 0 \text{ for all } a \in A\}.$$

Hence $A^* \in (Q(K^*))^*$ and thus $(H(K))^* \subseteq Q(K^*)$.

From the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} H(K^*) &= (H(K^*))^{**} \subseteq (Q(K^{**}))^* = (Q(K))^*; \\ Q(K^*) &= (Q(K^*))^{**} \subseteq (H(K^{**}))^* = (H(K))^*. \end{aligned}$$

5. Let $A \in HQ(K)$. Then A is isometric to a subspace of some quotient space E/F , where $E \in K$; $F \hookrightarrow E$. If B is a subspace of A then $(A/B)^* = (E/F)^*/B^\perp$, i.e. $(Q(HQ(K)))^* \subseteq Q(Q(K)^*)$. Because of

$$\begin{aligned} Q(HQ(K)) &= (Q(HQ(K)))^{**} \subseteq (Q(Q(K)^*))^* \\ &\subseteq H(Q(K)^{**}) = HQ(K), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$H(Q(H(Q(K)))) \subseteq H(H(Q(K))) = HQ(K).$$

Analogously, if $A \in QH(K)$, then A is isometric to a quotient space F/E , where $F \in H(B)$ for some $B \in K$ and $E \hookrightarrow F$. If $W \in H(A)$, i.e., if $W \in H(F/E)$ then $W^* = (F/E)^*/W^\perp$ and $(F/E)^*$ is isometric to a subspace $E^\perp$ of $F^* \in (H(B))^*$. Thus, $(H(QH(K)))^* \subseteq H((H(K))^*)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} H(QH(K)) &= (H(QH(K)))^{**} \subseteq (H(H(K)^*))^* \\ &\subseteq Q(H(K)^{**}) = QH(K). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$Q(H(Q(HQ(K)))) \subseteq Q(Q(H(K))) = QH(K).$$

Converse inclusion follows from 2. $\square$

It is obvious that for any class W^f the set $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{M}(W^f)$ has following properties:

(C) $\mathfrak{N}$ is a closed subset of the Minkowski's space $\mathfrak{M}$;

(H) If $A \in \mathfrak{N}$ and $B \in H(A)$ then $B \in \mathfrak{N}$;

(A₀) For any $A, B \in \mathfrak{N}$ there exists $C \in \mathfrak{N}$ such that $A \in H(C)$ and $B \in H(C)$.

THEOREM 2. Let $\mathfrak{N}$ be a set of finite dimensional Banach spaces; $\mathfrak{N} \subset \mathfrak{M}$. If $\mathfrak{N}$ has properties (C), (H) and (A₀) then there exists a class X^f such that $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{M}(X^f)$.

PROOF. Conditions (H) and (A₀) in a natural (not unique) way defines a partial order on $\mathfrak{M}(X^f) = \mathfrak{N}$. If D is an ultrafilter which is consistent with this order, then the ultraproduct $(\mathfrak{N})_D$ of all spaces from $\mathfrak{N}$ has desired properties. Since the set $H((\mathfrak{N})_D)$ is closed, $\mathfrak{M}(W^f) = \mathfrak{N}$. $\square$

Let Y be a B -convex Banach space.

Let $X = l_2(Y)$ (and, hence, $X^f = D_2(Y^f)$). Consider the Minkowski's base $\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$ and its enlargement $H(Q(\mathfrak{M}(X^f))) = HQ\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$.

THEOREM 3. There exists a Banach space W such that $HQ\mathfrak{M}(X^f) = \mathfrak{M}(W^f)$.

PROOF. Obviously, $HQ\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$ has properties (H) and (C).

Since $\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$ is 2-divisible, then for any $A, B \in \mathfrak{M}(X^f)$ the space $A \oplus_2 B$ belongs to $\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$ and, hence, to $HQ\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$. If $A, B \in Q\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$ then $A = F/F_1$; $B = E/E_1$ for some $E, F \in Q\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$.

$F/F_1 \oplus_2 E$ is isometric to a space $(F \oplus_2 E)/F'_1$, where

$$F'_1 = \{(f, 0) \in F \oplus_2 E : f \in F_1\}$$

and, hence, belongs to $Q\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$. Thus,

$$F/F_1 \oplus_2 E/E_1 = (F/F_1 \oplus_2 E)/E'_1,$$

where

$$E'_1 = \{(o, e) \in F/F_1 \oplus_2 E : e \in E_1\}$$

and, hence, belongs to $Q\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$ as well.

If $A, B \in HQ\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$ then $A \hookrightarrow E, B \hookrightarrow F$ for some $E, F \in Q\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$.

$E \oplus_2 F \in Q\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$ and, hence, $A \oplus_2 B \in HQ\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$.

Thus, $HQ\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$ has the property $(\mathbf{A}_0)$. The desired result follows from the preceding theorem. $\square$

DEFINITION 11. Let X be a Banach space, which generates a class X^f of finite equivalence. A class $** (X^f)$ is defined to be a class W^f with the Minkowski's base $\mathfrak{M}(W^f) = HQ\mathfrak{M}(D_2 Y^f)$.

Clearly, W^f is quotient closed. Obviously, $X^f <_f W^f$.

Let $\star$ be one more procedure that will be given by following steps.

Let $X \in \mathcal{B}$; $Y^f = D_2(X^f)$. Let $(Y_n)_{n < \infty}$ be a countable dense subset of $\mathfrak{M}(Y^f)$. Consider the space $Z = (\sum_{n < \infty} \oplus Y_n)_2$ and its conjugate Z^* .

Z^* generates a class $(Z^*)^f$, which will be regarded as a result of the procedure $\star : X^f \rightarrow (Z^*)^f$. Iterations of the procedure $\star$ are given by following steps.

Let $(Z_n)_{n < \infty}$ be a countable dense subset of $\mathfrak{M}((Z^*)^f)$. Consider a space $W = (\sum_{n < \infty} \oplus Z_n)_2$ and its conjugate W^* . Clearly, W^* generates a class $(W^*)^f$, which may be regarded as the result of the double procedure $\star$:

$$(W^*)^f = \star(Z^*)^f = \star\star(X^f).$$

THEOREM 4. For any Banach space X classes $** (X^f)$ and $\star\star (X^f)$ are identical.

PROOF. From the construction it follows that $H(Z^*) = (QH(l_2(X)))^*$ and that

$$\begin{aligned} H(W^*) &= (QH(Z^*))^* = (Q(QH(l_2(X))))^* \\ &= H(QH(l_2(X)))^{**} = HQH(l_2(X)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\mathfrak{M}((W^*)^f) = HQ\mathfrak{M}(Y^f) = HQ\mathfrak{M}(D_2(X^f)).$$

$\square$

THEOREM 5. Let X be a Banach space, which generates a class of finite equivalence X^f . If X is of type $p > 1$ and of cotype $q < \infty$, then the procedure $**$ maps X^f to a class of the same type and cotype. If X is superreflexive then $** (X^f)$ is superreflexive too.

PROOF. According to [9], for any B -convex Banach space X of nontrivial type p (and, hence, cotype q) its conjugate X^* is of type $p' = q/(q-1)$ and of cotype $q' = p/(p-1)$ (and, hence, is B convex as well). Obviously, $\star(X^f)$ is of type $p' = q/(q-1)$ and of cotype $q' = p/(p-1)$ and thus $\star\star(X^f) = ** (X^f)$ is of type p and of cotype q . It is clear that the procedure $\star$ holds superreflexivity. From the preceding theorem it follows that $**$ also has this property. $\square$

REMARK 1. If X is not B -convex, then

$$\star(X^f) = \star\star(X^f) = \star\star(X^f) = (c_0)^f.$$

THEOREM 6. For any Banach space X the class $\star\star(X^f)$ is 2-divisible.

PROOF. Let $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{M}(\star\star(X^f))$.

Since for any pair $A, B \in \mathfrak{N}$ their l_2 -sum belongs to $\mathfrak{N}$, then, by induction, $(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus A_i)_2 \in \mathfrak{N}$ for any finite subset $\{A_i : i \in I\} \subset \mathfrak{N}$.

Hence any infinite direct l_2 -sum $(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus A_i)_2$ is finite representable in $\star\star(X^f)$.

Let $\{A_i : i < \infty\} \subset \mathfrak{N}$ be dense in $\mathfrak{N}$.

Let $Y_1 = (\sum_{i < \infty} \oplus A_i)_2$; $Y_{n+1} = Y_n \oplus_2 Y_1$; $Y_\infty = \overline{\cup Y_n}$ (the upper line marks the closure).

Clearly, $Y_\infty = l_2(Y_\infty)$ belongs to $\star\star(X^f)$. $\square$

4. Almost ω -homogeneous Banach spaces

DEFINITION 12. Let X be a Banach space; $\mathcal{K}$ be a class of Banach spaces. X is said to be almost ω -homogeneous with respect to $\mathcal{K}$ if for any pair of spaces A, B of $\mathcal{K}$ such that A is a subspace of B ($A \hookrightarrow B$), every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every isometric embedding $i : A \rightarrow X$ there exists an isomorphic embedding $\hat{i} : B \rightarrow X$, which extends i (i.e., $\hat{i}|_A = i$) such that

$$\|\hat{i}\| \|\hat{i}^{-1}\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon).$$

If X is almost ω -homogeneous with respect to $H(X)$ it will be referred to as an almost ω -homogeneous space.

The main role in the next plays the notion of the *amalgamation* property. It will be convenient to introduce some terminology.

A fifth $v = \langle A, B_1, B_2, i_1, i_2 \rangle$, where $A, B_1, B_2 \in \mathfrak{M}(X^f)$; $i_1 : A \rightarrow B_1$ and $i_2 : A \rightarrow B_2$ are isometric embeddings, will be called the *V-formation over* $\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$. The space A will be called the *root of the V-formation* v . If there exists a triple $t = \langle j_1, j_2, F \rangle$ so that $F \in \mathfrak{M}(X^f)$; $j_1 : B_1 \rightarrow F$ and $j_2 : B_2 \rightarrow F$ are isometric embeddings such that $j_1 \circ i_1 = j_2 \circ i_2$, then the V -formation v is said to be *amalgamated in* $\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$, and the triple t (or simply the space F) is said to be its *amalgam*.

Let $\text{Amalg}(\mathfrak{M}(X^f))$ be a set of all spaces $A \in \mathfrak{M}(X^f)$ with the property:

Any V -formation v with root A is amalgamated in $\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$.

DEFINITION 13. Let $X \in \mathcal{B}$ generates a class X^f with the Minkowski's base $\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$. It will be said that $\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$ (and the whole class X^f) has the *amalgamation property* if

$$\mathfrak{M}(X^f) = \text{Amalg}(\mathfrak{M}(X^f))$$

THEOREM 7. For any class X^f having the amalgamation property, $E \in \mathcal{E}(X^f)$ if and only if $E \in X^f$ and E is almost ω -homogeneous.

PROOF. Let $E \hookrightarrow Z \in X^f$ and E be almost ω -homogeneous. Let $A \hookrightarrow Z$ be a finite dimensional subspace; $E \cap A = B$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Consider the identical embedding $id_B : B \rightarrow E$. Since $B \hookrightarrow A$, id_B may be extended to an embedding $u : A \rightarrow E$ with $\|u\| \|u^{-1}\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$. Thus, $E \in \mathcal{E}(X^f)$.

Conversely, let $E \in \mathcal{E}(X^f)$; $A \hookrightarrow E$ be finite dimensional. Let $i : A \rightarrow E$ be an operator. Let $iA \hookrightarrow B \in \mathfrak{M}(X^f)$ for some B .

Consider a space Z such that $E \hookrightarrow Z$; $iA \hookrightarrow B \hookrightarrow Z$. Such space exists because of the amalgamation property of $\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$. Since $E \in \mathcal{E}(X^f)$, $E \prec_u Z$, i.e. there is an embedding $u : B \rightarrow E$ such that $\|u\| \|u^{-1}\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$, which is identical on the intersection $E \cap B$; $u|_{E \cap B} = Id_{E \cap B}$. Since $iA \hookrightarrow B$ and $iA \hookrightarrow E$, $iA \hookrightarrow E \cap B$. Clearly, u extends the embedding $i : A \rightarrow B$. Since $iA \hookrightarrow B$ and ε are arbitrary, E is almost ω -homogeneous. $\square$

The one-side shuttle procedure shows that E is almost universal for all separable spaces that are finitely representable in X^f (in other terminology, any separable $E \in \mathcal{E}(X^f)$ is an *approximative envelope* of the class X^f).

THEOREM 8. *Let X^f has the amalgamation property; $E \in \mathcal{E}(X^f)$ be separable. For any separable space $Z <_f E$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an isomorphic embedding $u : Z \rightarrow E$ with $\|u\| \|u^{-1}\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$.*

PROOF. Let $Z = \overline{\cup Z_n}$, where $Z_1 \hookrightarrow Z_2 \hookrightarrow \dots$ be an increasing chain of finite dimensional subspaces, starting with 1-dimensional space Z_1 . Define inductively a sequence of isomorphic embeddings (i_n) . Let $i_1 : Z_1 \rightarrow E_X$ be an (isometric) embedding. Let $i_{n+1} : Z_{n+1} \rightarrow Z$ be an $(1 + \varepsilon^n)$ -isomorphic embedding, which extends i_n . Certainly, $\overline{\cup i_n Z_n} = Z' \hookrightarrow E_X$ is $(1 + 2\varepsilon)$ -isomorphic to Z . $\square$

REMARK 2. *The same result may be obtained in other way. It may be shown that a separable almost ω -homogeneous space E (which is existentially closed) is unique up to almost isometry.*

*Since, according to [8], **every** separable space $Z <_f E$ may be isometrically embedded into **some** separable $E \in \mathcal{E}(X^f)$, immediately E has the desired property.*

Now it will be shown that every class X^f of finite equivalence, which is divisible and quotient-closed is crudely finite equivalent to a class ΓX^f , which enjoys the isomorphic amalgamation property.

To define the procedure Γ , which sends a divisible quotient-closed class X^f to the desired class ΓX^f , which will be called *the Gurarii compression of X^f* consider a space $Y \in X^f$ with $H(Y) = \mathfrak{M}(X^f)$ (recall that every ultrapower $(Z)_D$ of any $Z \in X^f$ has the such property). By the theorem 7, $Y \oplus Y$ belongs to X^f for an orthogonal direct sum $\oplus$. So, for any pair $A, B \in \mathfrak{M}(X^f)$ is defined their orthogonal direct sum $A \oplus B$ in a determined way (chose A , resp. B as a subspace of the first, resp., of the second component of the sum $Y \oplus Y$). Let $\|(a, b)\|$ be the corresponding norm on $Y \oplus Y$ (and, hence, on $A \oplus B$).

Consider $\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$ and for every $A \in \mathfrak{M}(X^f)$ define a new norm, say, $||| \cdot |||$, by the rule: for $a \in A$

$$|||a||| = \inf \{ \|(u, v)\| : u + v = a \}$$

Let us show that this is really the norm.

PROPOSITION 1. *The function $||| \cdot ||| : a \rightarrow |||a|||$ is positive, homogeneous and satisfies the triangle inequality.*

PROOF. Immediately, $|||a||| \geq 0$: $|||a||| = 0 \Leftrightarrow a = 0$ and, for a scalar λ , $|||\lambda a||| = |\lambda| |||a|||$. Prove the triangle inequality.

$$\begin{aligned}
|||a + b||| &= \inf\{||(u, v)|| : u + v = a + b\} \\
&= \inf\{||(u_1 + v_1, u_2 + v_2)|| : u_1 + v_1 + u_2 + v_2 = a + b\} \\
&= \inf\{||(u_1, u_2) + (v_1, v_2)|| : u_1 + v_1 + u_2 + v_2 = a + b\} \\
&\leq \inf\{||(u_1, u_2)|| + ||(v_1, v_2)|| : u_1 + v_1 + u_2 + v_2 = a + b\} \\
&\leq \inf\{||(u_1, u_2)|| : u_1 + u_2 = a\} + \inf\{||(v_1, v_2)|| : v_1 + v_2 = b\} \\
&\leq |||a||| + |||b|||.
\end{aligned}$$

□

THEOREM 9. Norms $|||a|||$ and $\|a\|$ are equivalent.

PROOF. Immediately, $|||a||| \leq \|a\|$ for all $a \in A$. The inverse inequality easily follows from another definition of the norm $|||\cdot|||$, which may be identified with the norm of the quotient $(A \oplus A)/N$, where

$$N = \{(v, -v) : v \in A\} \hookrightarrow A \oplus A.$$

Indeed, for a class $[(a, 0)]$, generated by an element $(x, 0) \in A \oplus A$ its quotient norm is

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(a, 0)\|^Q &= \inf\{||(a + v, -v)|| : v \in A\} \geq \inf\{\max\{\|a + v\|, \|v\|\} : v \in A\} \\
&\geq \inf\{\max\{|||a||| - \|v\|, \|v\|\} : v \in A\} \\
&= \|a\| \inf\{\max\{|1 - \lambda|, |\lambda|\} : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\} \geq 1/2 \|a\|
\end{aligned}$$

and is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(a, 0)\|^Q &= \inf\{||(a + v, -v)|| : v \in A\} \\
&= \inf\{||(u_1, u_2)|| : u_1 + u_2 = a\} = |||a|||.
\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$1/2 \|a\| \leq |||a||| \leq \|a\|.$$

□

Now define the procedure Γ of Gurarii compression.

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a class of finite-dimensional Banach spaces. For every $A \in \mathcal{K}$ put $R(A) = \langle A, |||\cdot||| \rangle$, where $|||\cdot|||$ is defined as above. Put

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{K}) = \{R(A) : A \in \mathcal{K}\}.$$

Let X^f be a quotient-closed divisible class; $\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$ be its Minkowski's base. Consider a set $\Gamma(\mathfrak{M}(X^f))$. Since $R(A)$ may be identified with the quotient $(A \oplus A)/N$ where $N \in \mathfrak{M}(X^f)$ and because of $\mathfrak{M}(X^f)$ is quotient-closed, it follows that

$$\Gamma(\mathfrak{M}(X^f)) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}(X^f).$$

It is obvious that $R(A \oplus B) = R(A) \oplus R(B)$. This shows that the set $\Gamma(\mathfrak{M}(X^f))$ enjoys the property $(\mathbf{A}_0)$. Properties $(\mathbf{H})$ and $(\mathbf{C})$ for $\Gamma(\mathfrak{M}(X^f))$ are obvious as well. So, $\Gamma(\mathfrak{M}(X^f))$ may be regarded as a Minkowski's base of a class $W^f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma(X^f)$. It is clear that this procedure is idempotent, i.e. $\Gamma(X^f) = \Gamma(X^f)$. Besides, $\Gamma(X^f)$ is quotient-closed. The class $\Gamma(X^f)$ will be referred to as *the Gurarii compression of the class X^f* .

THEOREM 10. *Let X^f be quotient-closed divisible class. Its Gurarii compression $\Gamma(X^f)$ is finitely representable in X^f and is crudely finitely equivalent to X^f . The class $\Gamma(X^f)$ is quotient-closed divisible as well.*

PROOF. The first part follows from the inclusion $\Gamma(X^f) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}(X^f)$. The second one is a consequence of the previous theorem. The third part is obvious. $\square$

THEOREM 11. *Let X^f be a quotient closed, divisible class. Its Gurarii compression $W^f = \Gamma(X^f)$ enjoys the amalgamation property.*

PROOF. Let $A, B_1, B_2 \in \mathfrak{M}(W^f)$; $i_1 : A \rightarrow B_1$ and $i_2 : A \rightarrow B_2$ be isometric embeddings.

Assume for simplicity that $A \hookrightarrow B_1$ and $A \hookrightarrow B_2$.

Consider a linear space F formed by elements f of kind $f = x + y$, where $x \in B_1$ and $y \in B_2$, which is equipped with the norm:

$$\|f\|_F = \inf \{ \sqrt{\|x\|_{B_1}^2 + \|y\|_{B_2}^2} : x + y = f \}.$$

Obviously, the space F is an amalgam of the V -formation $\langle A, B_1, B_2, i_1, i_2 \rangle$.

To close the proof notice that the space F may be identified with the quotient E/H , where $E = B_1 \oplus_2 B_2$ and H is a subspace of E ,

$$H = \{(a, -a) : a \in A\}.$$

Surely, $E/H \in \mathfrak{M}(W^f)$ and, hence, $F \in \mathfrak{M}(W^f)$ as well. $\square$

5. A solution of Bourgain's problem.

THEOREM 12. *There exists a separable reflexive Banach space G with the following property: for any separable superreflexive Banach space X and each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an isomorphic embedding $u : X \rightarrow G$ such that $\|u\| \|u^{-1}\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$.*

PROOF. Let $1 < p \leq 2 \leq q < \infty$; $C(p, q, N) \subset \mathcal{B}$ be a set of all such separable superreflexive Banach spaces X that are both of type p and of cotype q with $\max\{T_p(X), C_q(X)\} \leq N$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$; $p = 1 - 1/n$; $q = n$; $N = n$, $C_n = C(1 - 1/n, n, n)$.

Consider a Banach space $X_n = (\sum \oplus \{E : E \in C_n\})_2$ and a class $(X_n)^f$ that is generated by X_n .

The procedure $**$ sends the class $(X_n)^f$ to the class $(W_n)^f = **((X_n)^f)$, which is superreflexive. Its Gurarii compression - the class $\Gamma(W_n)^f$ has the amalgamation property and hence contains a separable approximative envelope, say, E_n . Surely, each space from $C(1 - 1/n, n, n)$ is isomorphic to some subspace of E_n .

Consider a space

$$G = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus E_n)_2$$

Since a class $\mathcal{SSR}$ of all separable superreflexive Banach spaces may be represented as the union

$$\mathcal{SSR} = \cup \{C_n : n < \infty\},$$

it is clear that the space G is desired. $\square$

REMARK 3. *A space G with the property of the preceding theorem is not unique. Any space that is an l_p -sum of E_n 's has the same property. Moreover, if $F \in (W_n)^f$ is separable then $F \oplus_2 E_n$ is also an approximative separable envelope of $(W_n)^f$. It*

is clear that there exists a continuum number of pairwise non isomorphic spaces of kind $F \oplus_2 E_n$.

Recall that a Banach space X is said to be *complementably universal* for a class $\mathcal{K}$ of Banach spaces if every $E \in \mathcal{K}$ may be isomorphically embedded into X in a such way that its isomorphic copy E' in X admits a (bounded linear) projection $P: X \rightarrow E'$.

From [10] it follows that there no separable (non necessary reflexive) Banach space is complementary universal for the class of all subspaces of l_p for any given p , $1 \leq p \neq 2 < \infty$. Hence there not exists a complementably universal separable space for the whole class $\mathcal{SSR}$. At the same time, according to [2], there is a reflexive Banach space which is complementably universal for a class of those spaces from $\mathcal{SSR}$ that have the (metric) approximation property.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT 1. *Author wish to express his gratitude to Hanfeng Li, who pointed out on a gap in the first version of this paper for valuable discussions and interest to this work.*

6. References

- (1) Bourgain J. *On separable Banach spaces universal for all separable reflexive spaces*, Proc. AMS **79:2** (1980) 241-246
- (2) Prus S. *Finite - dimensional decompositions with p - estimates and universal Banach spaces*, Bull. Polish. Acad. Sci. Math. **31:5-8** (1983) 281-288
- (3) Heinrich S. *The isomorphic problem of envelopes*, Studia Math. **73:1** (1982) 41-49
- (4) Schwartz L. *Geometry and probability in Banach spaces*, Bull. AMS **4:2** (1981) 135-141
- (5) Maurey B. and Pisier G. *Séries de variables aléatoires vectorielles indépendantes et propriétés géométriques des espaces de Banach*, Studia Math. **58** (1976) 45-90
- (6) Tokarev E.V. *Spectrum of infinite-dimensional Banach spaces* (transl. from Russian), Functional Analysis and its Applications **23**, No.1 (1989) 76-78
- (7) Stern J. *Ultrapowers and local properties in Banach spaces*, Trans. AMS **240** (1978) 231-252
- (8) Tokarev E.V. *Injective Banach spaces in the finite equivalence classes* (transl. from Russian), Ukrainian Mathematical Journal **39:6** (1987) 614-619
- (9) Pisier G. *On the duality between type and cotype*, Lect. Notes in Math. **939** (1982)
- (10) Johnson W.B., Szankowski A. *Complementably universal Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **58** (1976) 91-97

B.E. UKRECOLAN, 33-81 ISKRINSKAYA STR., 61005, KHARKIV-5, UKRAINE
E-mail address: tokarev@univer.kharkov.ua